



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,833	11/13/2003	Masahiro Hasebe	D-1546	7855	
32628	7590 10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
	A BERNER AND PA	то, то	TO, TOAN C		
•	RIA, VA 22314-2848		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	3616			

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/705,8	33	HASEBE ET AL.	HASEBE ET AL.			
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Toan C. 1		3616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THE TOTAL OF THE T	HIS COMMUNICATI ent, however, may a reply be ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fo dication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this coned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>28 July 2005</i>						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	·						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</u>							
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
7—	_							
			•					
Applicat	ion Papers							
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the E							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date al Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	C. 35/00)	6) Other:		,			

Application/Control Number: 10/705,833 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species 4, represented by figure 6, claims 8-11 in the reply filed on July 28, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 28, 2005.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "font" should be –front--; and in lines 3-4, "passenger-facing surface" should be –driver-facing surface--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/705,833

Art Unit: 3616

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takada (U.S. 4,805,930) in view of Zumpano (U.S. 6,513,829).

Takada discloses an airbag for protecting a driver, comprising: a bag portion (1) to be inflated in front of the driver and being attached to a steering wheel (3), and having a driver-facing surface (5a) and a rear portion (6) located at a side opposite to the driver-facing surface in an inflated state; and a gas generator (2) attached to the airbag for inflating the same.

Takada does not disclose a plurality of pressure sensors mounted on an inner surface of the rear portion where the driver does not face, the pressure sensors detecting an inner pressure of the bag portion when the airbag is inflated; and harness electrically connected to the pressure sensors, the harness being disposed along the inner surface of the bag portion; wherein a plurality of pressure sensors is disposed on the inner surface of one bag portion and being located on the rear portion outside the steering wheel.

Zumpano teaches the invention wherein a plurality of pressure sensors (49) mounted on an inner surface of the rear portion of the airbag, the pressure sensors (49) detecting an inner pressure of the bag portion when the airbag is inflated; and harness (50) electrically connected to the pressure sensors (49), the harness being disposed along the inner surface of the bag portion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the driver protecting system of Takada by providing the

Art Unit: 3616

pressure sensors and harness as taught by Zumpano along the inner rear surface of the airbag in order to adjust the pressure of the airbag upon inflation such that accommodate the force of impact of the occupant with the airbag in a manner which causes both a resistance to and at least a partial absorption of the force of impact.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan C. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6677. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,833 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTo

October 4, 2005

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600